

Figure 1. A schematic representation of idealized conformations of $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Z}$ groups in 1,8-bis(trimethylelement)naphthalenes, viewed down the $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ bond axis: $\mathrm{A}, \mathbf{2}(Z=\mathrm{Ge})$ and $\mathbf{3}(Z=\mathrm{Sn}) ; \mathrm{B}, \mathbf{1}(Z=\mathrm{C})$. The heavy horizontal line symbolizes the projection of the average plane of the naphthalene ring.


Figure 2. ' H NMR spectrum ( 350.25 MHz , methyl region) of 2 in a $2: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( 383.74 MHz , methyl region) of $\mathbf{3}$ in a $2: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
tions of $\mathbf{1 , 2}$, and $\mathbf{3}$ in solution resemble those in the solid state (i.e., if the conformational differences are not merely induced by lattice forces), it can be predicted ${ }^{4}$ that the effect of the naphthalene ring on the chemical shifts of the three diastereotopic methyl groups in $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ at the slow exchange limit will differ markedly from that in $\mathbf{1}$. We now report, first, an experimental verification of this prediction, and, second, the determination, by dynamic NMR, of the energy requirement for torsion about the $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Z}$ - C bonds in $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$.

The 'H NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$ near the slow exchange limit (Figure 2) features three singlets in the methyl region, two of which are closely spaced ( 0.11 ppm ) while the third is 0.41 ppm downfield from the center peak. For $\mathbf{3}$ we were able to resolve only the widely separated ( 0.43 ppm ) chemical shifts, and thus the spectrum appears as a $2: 1$ doublet with the high intensity component at high field (Figure 3). ${ }^{6}$ The downfield singlet in 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ is evidently due to the methyl groups which point toward the average plane of the naphthalene ring in conformation A (Figure 1). ${ }^{4}$ In contradistinction, the chemical shifts of the methyl protons in $\mathbf{1}$ and other substituted 1,8 -di-tertbutylnaphthalenes exhibit the reverse pattern, corresponding to conformation B (Figure 1), with the downfield and upfield singlets separated from the center singlet by $0.26-0.38$ and $0.79-0.80 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively. ${ }^{\text {Ib }}$ The different ground-state conformations (Figure 1) are therefore inherent molecular properties. It seems likely that the significantly greater crowding and internal strain in 1, as compared with $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ (to judge by the skeletal deformations ${ }^{5}$ ), lies at the root of this distinction.

As determined by line shape analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, ${ }^{7}$ the rate constant for $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Z}$ group rotation is 140
$\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ for $\mathbf{2}$ at $-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for $\mathbf{3}$ at $-175^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \Delta G^{\ddagger}=5.4 \mathrm{~s}$ and $4.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$, respectively. For 1,8 -di-tert-butylnaphthalenes, the corresponding barriers are $\sim 6.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at ca. $-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\text {lb }}$ It therefore seems reasonable to expect that the as yet unknown ${ }^{2 b}$ 1,8-bis(trimethylsilyl)naphthalene will exhibit a $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}$ rotation barrier of $\sim 6.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, which is precisely the barrier recently predicted by Hutchings and Watt, using an EFF approach. ${ }^{8}$ However, the same calculations ${ }^{8}$ also predict a trend in $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{C}$ rotation barriers of $4.7,6.0$, and $6.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Si}$, and Sn , respectively, which is the reverse of the trend observed for $\Delta G^{\ddagger}(6.5,5.5$, and $4.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Ge}$, and Sn , respectively). The source of this discrepancy remains to be discovered.
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## Preparation and Reactions of New Dioxygen Complexes of Rhodium

Sir:
Traditionally, dioxygen complexes of transition metals have been prepared by the oxidative addition of molecular oxygen to the low valent metal complexes stabilized by phosphine or isonitrile ligands. ${ }^{1}$ We recently reported a new preparative method of dioxygen complexes of palladium using superoxide ion as a dioxygen source. ${ }^{2}$ Extension of the work has led to the formation of dioxygen complexes of various transition metals having olefinic ligands or $\pi$-allyl ligands. ${ }^{3}$ Dioxygen complexes of rhodium thus prepared have only $\pi$-coordinated ligands
except for dioxygen which serves as a bridging ligand in the complexes. The structure of the complexes ${ }^{4}$ differs from that of ordinary mononuclear dioxygen complexes of rhodium, ${ }^{5}$ although they are somewhat analogous to the complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{RhClO}_{2}\right]_{2}{ }^{6}$ whose structure was determined by Bennett et al, ${ }^{7}$


Experimentally dioxygen complexes of rhodium were prepared by the anion exchange reaction (eq 1). The reaction



(NBD)
proceeded in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent in the presence of an excess of potassium superoxide at room temperature. A solution of the rhodium complex $1 \mathbf{1 a}$ ( $2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise to finely powdered $\mathrm{KO}_{2}$ ( $49 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.7$ equiv) suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 15 mL ) under dry nitrogen pressure. Rapid evolution of oxygen was observed and the color of the solution changed from reddish yellow to dark green within 3 min . Evolution of oxygen terminated after 20 min and the mixed solution changed reddish brown. The mixture was stirred for 4 $h$ more after which KCl and excess $\mathrm{KO}_{2}$ were removed by filtration. The reddish filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, and addition of petroleum ether and cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded a crude product ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 2.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 67 \%$ yield). Recrystallization from DME gave yellowish brown crystals: mp $132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec} ;{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.63(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.42(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.60(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) \nu_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}} 1465 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mol wt (cryoscopy in benzene) calcd 454.2, found 447. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, $42.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.33 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 0.00$. Found: C, 42.08 ; $\mathrm{H}, 5.65 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 0.00$. Dioxygen complexes $\mathbf{2 b}$ and 2 c were prepared similarly from the corresponding rhodium complexes. ${ }^{8}$ Incorporation of dioxygen in the complexes $\mathbf{2}$ was confirmed by the reaction of $\mathbf{2}$ with triphenylphosphine. When $\mathbf{2 a}$ was heated in the sealed tube with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ( 1.1 equiv) under nitrogen pressure, the coordinated oxygen in $\mathbf{2 a}$ was recovered quantitatively as triphenylphosphine oxide (eq 2).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{2 a}+2 \mathrm{PPh}_{3} \xrightarrow[\mathrm{~N}_{2}, 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}]{\text { benzene }} 2 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Preparation of dioxygen complex of trivalent rhodium was also examined by the reaction of $\mathrm{KO}_{2}$ with a stable rhodium complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{RhCl}\right]_{2}$ (3). Although the reaction proceeded rapidly at room temperature, the recovered material was only
the hydroxy bridged binuclear complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{OH})\right]_{2}$ (5) (eq 3). ${ }^{9}$ We think that the dioxygen complex

$\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Rh}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (4) is the intermediate and hydrogen abstraction of $\mathbf{4}$ from solvent gives 5 as the final product.

The dioxygen bridge in the complex $\mathbf{2}$ is labile and readily reacts with water, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, and acetic acid. The reaction gave $\mathrm{RO}^{-}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}\right.$, and Ac) bridged complexes ${ }^{10}$ and hydrogen peroxide (eq 4) which suggests that dioxygen is

$$
(\mathrm{COD})_{2} \mathrm{Rh}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+2 \mathrm{ROH}
$$


coordinated as $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ in the complexes. The basic nature of the coordinated dioxygen was further demonstrated by the reaction of $\mathbf{2 a}$ with active methylene compounds. Treatment of $\mathbf{2 a}$ in DME with cyclopentadiene ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=15$ ), acetylacetone ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ =9), methyl acetoacetate ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=11$ ), and dimethyl malonate ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=13$ ) resulted in the abstraction of proton by the coordinated dioxygen giving the corresponding rhodium complexes 7 and 8 (eq 5) and hydrogen peroxide. ${ }^{11}$ Dimerization of ace-

$8 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}(81 \%)$
$b, R^{1}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OMe}(81 \%)$
c, $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OMe} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OMe}(87 \%)$
tone was observed in the presence of $\mathbf{2 a}$. The reaction proceeded catalytically and stirring of an acetone solution of 2a ( 0.11 $\mathrm{mmol}, 9 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) for 2 days under nitrogen pressure gave 2.28 mmol of diacetone alcohol. ${ }^{12}$ Interestingly, no Baeyer-Villiger reaction products were obtained in the treatment of $\mathbf{2 a}$ with cyclohexanone. The reaction afforded only the dehydrogenated products, phenol and cyclohexenone (eq 6), instead of $\epsilon$-ca-

prolactone. ${ }^{13}$ Attempts to force interaction between the olefinic ligands and the coordinated dioxygen were unsuccessful. Warming of $\mathbf{2 a}$ in the refluxing benzene gave minor amounts of cis-ligand coupling products and the elimination of COD prevailed, accompanying the decomposition of the complex.
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(13) $\mathbf{2 a}(1.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated by cyclohexanone $(2.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the refluxing benzene ( 10 mL ) for 20 h . Phenol ( 0.18 mmol ) and cyclohexenone ( 0.06 mmol ) were obtained and unreacted $\mathbf{2 a}(0.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ was recovered as $\mathbf{6 b}$ after the column chromatography (silica gel-MeOH).
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## On the Selectivity of Tertiary Amine Oxidations

Sir:
Tertiary amines can be oxidized by chemical one-electron oxidants, ${ }^{1}$ electrochemically, ${ }^{2}$ photochemically, ${ }^{3}$ and enzymatically. ${ }^{4}$ The mechanisms of all but the latter are thought to involve one-electron oxidation to yield a planar aminium radical ${ }^{5}$ followed by deprotonation to yield an $\alpha$-amino radical (eq 1). The $\alpha$-amino radical may be further oxidized chemi-
cally or electrochemically to the immonium salt, which is readily hydrolyzed to yield a secondary amine and a carbonyl compound (eq 2). The second oxidation step does not occur in

some photochemical reactions owing to the absence of a suitable oxidant. ${ }^{3,6}$ For example, singlet trans-stilbene and triethylamine yield mainly the products expected for free-radical cross termination of an $\alpha$-amino and 1,2-diphenylethyl radical pair (eq 3). ${ }^{6}$


$$
\begin{align*}
& \longrightarrow \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHPhCHMeNEt}{ }_{2}+\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph} \\
& +\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Oxidation of nonsymmetrical amines, e.g., $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NR}^{\prime}$, can yield two different $\alpha$-amino radicals, resulting in competitive dealkylation (eq 2) or radical combination (eq 3) processes. Smith and Mann ${ }^{2 a}$ first observed that electrochemical oxidation of ethyldiisopropylamine resulted in selective formation of the less stable secondary $\alpha$-amino radical (eq 4). Selective

formation of the less stable $\alpha$-amino radical has been observed for several highly branched tertiary amines. ${ }^{1-3}$ Selectivity has been attributed to both steric ${ }^{22,3}$ and kinetic acidity ${ }^{2 c, d}$ effects on the deprotonation step. In contrast, the oxidation of less substituted amines such as dimethyl-n-alkyl- and dimethylbenzylamines is, in most cases, reported to be nonselective, ${ }^{1,2}$ a result in accord with neither a simple steric nor a kinetic acidity effect. We report our investigation of the photochemical reactions of trans-stilbene with several tertiary amines, the results of which serve to elucidate the origin of amine oxidation selectivity.

Irradiation of degassed acetonitrile solutions of trans-stilbene ( 0.01 M ) and the tertiary amines ( 1.0 M ) listed in Table I leads to the formation of addition products (eq 3 ). The products are labeled as $a$ or $b$ depending on the orientation of aminium radical deprotonation. The product ratios $a / b$ have been statistically corrected for the number of abstractable protons of type $a$ vs $b$. The observed a/b ratios for amines 1-5 may be slightly larger than the selectivity of deprotonation owing to the competition between radical-pair disproportionation vs. combination (eq 3 ), which increases with $\alpha$-amino radical substitution. ${ }^{7}$ The $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{b}$ ratio for amine 6 provides a value of the isotope effect for aminium radical deprotonation. No amine adduct or stilbene reduction was observed upon irradiation of stilbene with triisopropylamine or with Dabco (1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane).

The relative reactivities of tertiary amines with singlet stilbene given in Table I are obtained from the slopes of linear Stern-Volmer plots for quenching of stilbene fluorescence by

